

\$~9.

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

% *Decided on: 13th August, 2020*

+ W.P.(C) 4632/2020

M/S. N.A. INTERNATIONAL Petitioner
Through: Mr.Priyadarshi Manish, Adv. with
Mrs.Anjali J. Manish, Adv.

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondents
Through: Mr.Vivek Goyal, CGSC with
Mr.Abhishek Khanna, Adv. for R-1/UOI.
Mr.Amit Bansal, Sr.Standing Counsel with
Mr.Aman Rewaria, Adv. for R-2 to 7.

CORAM:
HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRATEEK JALAN

JUDGMENT

: **D.N.Patel, Chief Justice (Oral)**

Proceedings in the matter have been conducted through video conferencing.

1. This writ petition has been preferred with the following prayers:-

“(a) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus to direct the Respondents to release the IGST to the Petitioner for amount of Rs.1,79,10,516/- along with interest; and /or.

(b) Issue a writ or direction in the nature of mandamus to direct the Respondents to release to the Petitioner the amount of

duty drawback of Rs.54,11,609/- to the Petitioner; and/or

(c) Issue a writ order or direction in the nature of mandamus to direct the Respondents to remove alert against the IEC No.0511085249; and/or

(d) Issue a writ or direction in the nature of mandamus to direct the Respondents to remove alert against the GNSTIN bearing No.07AAGPM3292A1ZZ; and/or

(e) Grant the cost of the petition; and

(f) Pass such and other further orders as may deem fit and necessary in the facts and circumstances of the present case.”

2. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, it appears that the petitioner is aggrieved by the inaction on the part of the respondents in not releasing the IGST and amount of duty drawbacks due to them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as far as the other prayers in the writ petition are concerned, he does not press them at this stage.

4. We, therefore, direct the concerned respondent authorities to decide the question of release or otherwise of IGST along with interest as well as the release or otherwise of duty drawback due to the petitioner in accordance with law, rules, regulations and Government policies applicable to the facts of the case, as early as possible and preferably within a period of three weeks from today.

5. The petitioner shall thereafter be at liberty to challenge the order passed by the respondents, if so advised, along with the other grievances

raised in this writ petition.

6. With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

CHIEF JUSTICE

PRATEEK JALAN, J

AUGUST 13, 2020
'anb'

HIGH COURT OF DELHI



मात्यमेव जयते