# IN THE INCOME TAX APPELLATE TRIBUNAL DELHI BENCHES "B" : DELHI

### BEFORE SHRI BHAVNESH SAINI, JUDICIAL MEMBER AND SHRI O.P. KANT, ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

## ITA.No.5959/Del./2017 Assessment Year 2014-2015

M/s. Divya Creations, Plot	ıVS.	The ACIT,
No.97, NSEZ, Noida. Uttar Pradesh.		Circle-1,
PAN AADFD4879K		Noida. Uttar Pradesh.
(Appellant)		(Respondent)

For Assessee :	Shri Piyush Kaushik,
	Advocate
For Revenue :	Shri Jagdish Singh Dahiya, Sr. D.R.

Date of Hearing :	23.06.2020
Date of Pronouncement :	24.06.2020

#### ORDER

#### PER BHAVNESH SAINI, J.M.

This appeal by Assessee has been directed against the Order of the Ld. CIT(A)-1, Noida, Dated 30.06.2017, for the A.Y. 2014-2015, challenging the addition of Rs.13,67,739/-.

- 2. We have heard the Learned Representatives of both the parties through video conferencing and perused the material on record.
- 3. The A.O. on perusal of Schedule-18 of Selling Expenses noticed that assessee had debited expenses in the name of commission to non-resident other than a company or a foreign company amounting to Rs.13,67,739/-. The
- A.O. took-up the issue of TDS made on such payments to non-resident agents in the assessment year under appeal and examined the claim of assessee. The A.O. also noted that similar disallowance were made under section 40(a)(i) of the I.T. Act and considering the fact that the expenses made in foreign agency commission are of the same nature as last year and no TDS has been deducted by the assessee, therefore, the amount in question was disallowed under section 40(a)(i) of the I.T. Act. The Ld. CIT(A) dismissed the appeal of assessee.
- 4. Learned Counsel for the Assessee, at the outset submitted that in A.Y. 2010-2011 similar issue was considered by ITAT, Delhi Bench in the case of assessee

ITA.No.5959/Del./2017 M/s. Divya Creations, Noida (UP).

Divya Creation vs., ACIT, Circle-2, Noida reported in [2017] 86

taxmann.com 276 (Delhi-Tribu.) and disallowance have been

deleted. The Tribunal held as under:

"Where assessee-firm made payments of commission

to those agents, since those agents had their offices

situated abroad and, moreover, services were also

rendered by them outside India, assessee was not

required to deduct tax at source while making

payments in question."

4.1. Learned Counsel for the Assessee submitted that facts are

identical as have already been considered in earlier year and this

fact is also admitted by the A.O. in the assessment order and

disallowances have been made as have been made in earlier year.

Therefore, the issue is covered in favour of the assessee and

disallowance made may be deleted.

5. On the other hand, Ld. D.R. did not dispute that the issue is

same as have been considered in earlier year and disallowance is

also same in respect of the same party.

ITA.No.5959/Del./2017 M/s. Divya Creations, Noida (UP).

The Ld. D.R. however, relied upon the Orders of the authorities below and also relied upon Judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Transmission Corporation of

A.P. Ltd., & Another vs., CIT [1999] 239 ITR 587 (SC).

6. We have considered the rival submissions. It is not in dispute that the issue is same as have already been considered in earlier year by the Tribunal. The parties are also same. The Tribunal examined the issue in the light of agreement and material on record and found that the agent had their base situated in Abroad and moreover services were also rendered by them outside. Therefore, assessee is not required to deduct tax at source while making payments in question. The A.O. in the assessment order has also made disallowance since in earlier year also of the same issue and no TDS have been deducted. Therefore, the facts being identical, no disallowance is required in the matter. We, therefore, following the Order of the Tribunal in the case of assessee for the A.Y. 2010-2011, set aside the Orders of the authorities below and delete the addition.

ITA.No.5959/Del./2017 M/s. Divya Creations, Noida (UP).

7. In the result, appeal of Assessee allowed.

Order pronounced in the open Court.

Sd/-(O.P. KANT) ACCOUNTANT MEMBER

Sd/-(BHAVNESH SAINI) JUDICIAL MEMBER

Delhi, Dated 24th June, 2020

VBP/-

Copy to

1.	The appellant
2.	The respondent
3.	CIT(A) concerned
4.	CIT concerned
5.	D.R. ITAT 'B' Bench, Delhi
6.	Guard File.

// BY Order //

Assistant Registrar : ITAT Delhi Benches : Delhi.