

\$~40.

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 1749/2020**

**SATISH KUMAR JAIN**

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Bhushan and Ms. Nidhi  
Gupta, Advs.

versus

**UNION OF INDIA & ORS.**

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Manisha Agrawal Narain and Ms.  
Rakshita Goyal, m Advs. for UOI.  
Mr. Amit Bansal, Mr. Aman Rewaria  
and Ms. Vipasha Mishra, Advs. for R-  
2,3.

**CORAM:**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA**

% **ORDER**  
**17.02.2020**

**C.M. No. 6122/2020**

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

**W.P.(C) 1749/2020**

Issue notice. Learned counsels for the respondents accept notice.

The issue that arises for consideration is whether the proposed  
confiscation is covered by the Sabka Vishwas (Legacy Dispute Resolution)

Scheme, 2019.

Prima facie, it appears to us that the respondent's legacy scheme should be broad enough to cover not only the aspect of demand of duty, but also to cover cases where goods are liable to be released upon payment of redemption fine in lieu of confiscation. Otherwise, the Scheme may itself provide only partial relief to the parties and also leave disputes unsettled thereby defeating the very object of the Scheme.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on an order passed by the Gujarat High Court dated 24.12.2019 in *Messrs Synpol Products Pvt. Ltd. v. UOI*, Special Civil Application No. 21744/2019. A Copy of the same has been served on the learned counsel for the respondent.

We, therefore, direct the respondents to examine the aforesaid aspect and place their response on record within four weeks. Rejoinder be filed before the next date.

List on 10.09.2020.

**VIPIN SANGHI, J**

**SANJEEV NARULA, J**

**FEBRUARY 17, 2020**

*N.Khanna*